

St. Petersburg, July 20, 2017

Ruin probabilities with investments in a risky asset with the price given by a geometric Lévy process

Yuri Kabanov

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-Comté and Federal
Research Center Informatics and Control, Moscow

Based on joint works with Serguei Pergamenschchikov

St. Petersburg, July 20, 2017

Abstract

- We consider a model of describing the evolution of the capital of a venture company selling innovations when it invests its reserve into a risky asset with the price given by a geometric Lévy process.
- We find the **exact** asymptotic of the ruin probabilities. Under some natural conditions it decays as a power function. The rate of decay is a positive root of equation determined by characteristics of the price process. When the price follows a gBm the results are reduced to those of our previous work where we used the method of differential equations assuming exponentially distributed jumps.
- Our proofs are based on the asymptotic theory for renewal equations, in particular on a very recent result by Guivarc'h and Le Page.

Exit probabilities for linear equations

- $R = (R_t)_{t \geq 0}$, $P = (P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are **independent** Lévy processes, $P_0 = R_0 = 0$, $\Delta R > -1$.
- $X = X^u$ describes the capital evolution ; it is given by the linear equation **$dX = X_- dR + dP$** , $X_0 = u$, i.e.

$$X_t = u + X_- \cdot R_t + P_t = u + \int_{]0,t]} X_{s-} dR_s + P_t, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

- Since $[P, R] = 0$ we have the analog of the Cauchy formula

$$X = \mathcal{E}(R)(u + \mathcal{E}_-^{-1}(R) \cdot P) = \mathcal{E}(R)(u - Y),$$

where the Doléans exponential $\mathcal{E}(R)$ is **the price process**, $\mathcal{E}(R) := 1 + \mathcal{E}_-(R) \cdot R$ and $Y := -\mathcal{E}_-^{-1}(R) \cdot P$.

- Ruin time : $\tau^u := \inf\{t : X_t^u \leq 0\}$, the exit time from $]0, \infty[$.
- Ruin probability : $\Psi(u) := \mathbf{P}(\tau^u < \infty)$.

Lévy triplets

- (a, σ^2, Π) , (a_P, σ_P^2, Π_P) are the Lévy triplets of R , P , i.e.

$$R_t = at + \sigma W_t + h * (\mu - \nu)_t + \bar{h} * \mu_t,$$

compensator $\nu(dt, dx) = dt\Pi(dx)$ with $\Pi(|x|^2 \wedge 1) < \infty$,
truncation function $h(x) := xI_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}$, $\bar{h}(x) := xI_{\{|x| > 1\}}$.

The process P has a similar representation with W^P , μ^P , ν^P .

To exclude trivial or known cases we work under the following

- **Assumption** $\Pi(-\infty, -1] ; \sigma^2$ and Π do not vanish simultaneously, P is not a subordinator.
- Stochastic exponential can be written as the usual one :

$$X_t^u = e^{V_t}(u - Y_t),$$

$$Y_t := - \int_{]0,t]} \mathcal{E}_s^{-1}(R) dP_s = - \int_{]0,t]} e^{-V_s} dP_s.$$

Main result

The Lévy process V (the log price) has the form

$$V_t = at - (1/2)\sigma^2 t + \sigma W_t + h * (\mu - \nu)_t + (\ln(1+x) - h) * \mu_t.$$

and has the triplet $(a_V, \sigma^2, \Pi\varphi^{-1})$ where $\varphi : x \mapsto \ln(1+x)$,

$$a_V = a - (1/2)\sigma^2 + \Pi(h(\ln(1+x)) - h).$$

For r.v. V_1 the **cumulant generating function**

$$H(q) := \ln \mathbf{E} e^{-qV_1} = -a_V q + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} q^2 + \Pi(e^{-q\ln(1+x)} - 1 + qh(\ln(1+x))).$$

Put $\underline{q} := \inf\{q \leq 0 : H(q) < \infty\}$, $\bar{q} := \sup\{q \geq 0 : H(q) < \infty\}$.

Theorem

*Suppose that H has a root $\beta > 0$ laying in $\text{int dom } H$ and $\Pi(|\bar{h}|^\beta) < \infty$. If **the law $\mathcal{L}(V_T)$ is non-arithmetic for some $T > 0$** , then $\Psi(u) = O(u^{-\beta})$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.*

If, moreover, $\Pi_P(] - \infty, 0[) = 0$, then $\Psi(u) \sim C_\infty u^{-\beta}$ for $C_\infty > 0$.

Reduction

So, $X_t^u = e^{V_t}(u - Y_t)$. Obviously, $\tau^u = \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y_t \geq u\}$. Put $G(u) := \mathbf{P}(Y_\infty > u)$.

Lemma

If $Y_t \rightarrow Y_\infty$ a.s. where Y_∞ is finite and unbounded from above, then for all $u > 0$

$$G(u) \leq \Psi(u) = \frac{G(u)}{\mathbf{E}(G(X_{\tau^u}) | \tau^u < \infty)} \leq \frac{G(u)}{G(0)}. \quad (1)$$

In particular, if $\Pi_P([-\infty, 0]) = 0$, then $\Psi(u) = G(u)/G(0)$.

Proof. Let τ be a stopping time, ξ be a \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable r.v.,

$$Y_{\tau, \infty} := \begin{cases} -\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\tau, \tau+N]} e^{-(V_t - V_\tau)} dP_t, & \tau < \infty, \\ 0, & \tau = \infty. \end{cases}$$

is well defined.

On the set $\{\tau < \infty\}$

$$Y_{\tau,\infty} = e^{V_\tau}(Y_\infty - Y_\tau) = X_\tau + e^{V_\tau}(Y_\infty - u).$$

Since the Lévy process Y starts afresh at τ , the conditional distribution of $Y_{\tau,\infty}$ given $(\tau, \xi) = (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ is the same as $\mathcal{L}(Y_\infty)$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{P}(Y_{\tau,\infty} > \xi, \tau < \infty) = \mathbf{E} G(\xi) I_{\{\tau < \infty\}}.$$

Thus, if $\mathbf{P}(\tau < \infty) > 0$, then

$$\mathbf{P}(Y_{\tau,\infty} > \xi, \tau < \infty) = \mathbf{E}(G(\xi) | \tau < \infty) \mathbf{P}(\tau < \infty).$$

Noting that $\Psi(u) := \mathbf{P}(\tau^u < \infty) \geq \mathbf{P}(Y_\infty > u) > 0$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} G(u) &= \mathbf{P}(Y_\infty > u, \tau^u < \infty) = \mathbf{P}(Y_{\tau^u,\infty} > X_{\tau^u}, \tau^u < \infty) \\ &= \mathbf{E}(G(X_{\tau^u}) | \tau^u < \infty) \mathbf{P}(\tau^u < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows since $X_{\tau^u} \leq 0$ on the set $\{\tau^u < \infty\}$. In the case where $\Pi_P([-\infty, 0]) = 0$, the process X^u crosses zero in a continuous way, i.e. $X_{\tau^u} = 0$ on this set.

Reduction to distributional equations

Put

$$M_n := e^{-(V_n - V_{n-1})}, \quad Q_n := - \int_{]n-1, n]} e^{-(V_t - V_{n-1})} dP_t.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}(M_1, Q_1) = \mathcal{L}(M_n, Q_n)$ and

$$Y_n = -e^{-V_{n-1}} \int_{]0, n]} e^{-(V_t - V_{n-1})} dP_t = Q_1 + M_1 Q_2 + M_1 M_2 Q_3 + \dots$$

Thus, $Y_n = Q_1 + M_1 Y_{n-1,1}$ where

$$Y_{n-1,1} := Q_2 + M_2 Q_3 + \dots M_2 \dots M_{n-1} Q_n.$$

Suppose that $Y_n \rightarrow Y_\infty$. Then $Y_\infty = Q_1 + M_1 Y_{\infty,1}$ a.s. Hence,

$$Y_\infty \stackrel{d}{=} Q + M Y_\infty, \quad Y_\infty \text{ independent of } (M, Q).$$

Implicit renewal theory

Let $M > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{L}(\ln M)$ is **non-arithmetic** and

$$\mathbf{E} M^\beta = 1, \quad \mathbf{E} M^\beta (\ln M)^+ < \infty \quad \text{for some } \beta > 0.$$

Then $\ln \mathbf{E} M \in [-\infty, 0[$ and $\kappa := \mathbf{E} M^\beta \ln M \in]0, \infty[$.

Lemma (Goldie, 1991)

Let M satisfies the conditions above, $\mathbf{E} |Q|^\beta < \infty$. Then the distributional equation $Y_\infty \stackrel{d}{=} Q + M Y_\infty$, Y_∞ independent of (M, Q) has a unique solution Y_∞ and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^\beta \mathbf{P}(Y_\infty > u) = C_+ := \frac{1}{\beta \kappa} \mathbf{E} (((Q + M Y_\infty)^+)^{\beta} - ((M Y_\infty)^+)^{\beta}).$$

Lemma (Guivarc'h, Le Page, 2015 ; Buraczewski, Damek, 2016)

$$C_+ > 0 \Leftrightarrow Y_\infty \text{ unbounded from above.}$$

Moments of the maximal function $Y_1^* := \sup_{t \leq 1} |Y_t|$

Lemma

If $\Pi_P(|\bar{h}|^p) + \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq 1} e^{-pV_t} < \infty$ for $p > 0$, then $\mathbf{E} Y_1^{*p} < \infty$.

The proof follows from the Novikov inequalities for the integral $I = g * (\mu^P - \nu^P)$ where $g^2 * \nu_1^P < \infty$. In dependence of the parameter $\alpha \in [1, 2]$ they have the following form :

$$\mathbf{E} I_1^{*p} \leq C_{p,\alpha} \begin{cases} \mathbf{E} (|g|^\alpha * \nu_1^P)^{p/\alpha}, & \forall p \in]0, \alpha], \\ \mathbf{E} (|g|^\alpha * \nu_1^P)^{p/\alpha} + \mathbf{E} |g|^p * \nu_1^P, & \forall p \in [\alpha, \infty[. \end{cases}$$

If $H(q) < \infty$, then the process $m_t(q) := e^{-qV_t - tH(q)}$ is a martingale and $\mathbf{E} e^{-qV_t} = e^{tH(q)}$, $t \in [0, 1]$. From this it is easy to deduce that

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \leq 1} e^{-pV_t} < \infty \quad \forall p \in]\underline{q}, \bar{q}[.$$

Convergence of Y

The convergence Y_t as $t \rightarrow \infty$ can be easily established under very weak assumptions.

Proposition

If there is $p > 0$ such that $H(p) < 0$, and $\Pi_P(|\bar{h}|^p) < \infty$, then Y_t converge a.s. to a finite r.v. Y_∞ unbounded from above and solving the distributional equation

$$Y_\infty \stackrel{d}{=} Y_1 + M_1 Y_\infty, \quad Y_\infty \text{ independent of } (M_1, Y_1).$$

Proof. We assume wlg that $p < 1$ and $H(p+) \neq \infty$. For $j \geq 2$

$$Y_j - Y_{j-1} = M_1 \dots M_{j-1} Q_j, \quad .$$

Since $\rho := \mathbf{E}M_1^p = e^{H(p)} < 1$ and $\mathbf{E}M_1 \dots M_{j-1} |Q_j| = \rho^j \mathbf{E}|Y_1|^p$, we have $\mathbf{E} \sum_{j \geq 1} |Y_j - Y_{j-1}|^p < \infty$. Hence, $\sum_{j \geq 1} |Y_j - Y_{j-1}|^p < \infty$ a.s. But then $\sum_{j \geq 1} |Y_j - Y_{j-1}| < \infty$ a.s. and the sequence Y_n converges to some finite random variable Y_∞ .

Put

$$\Delta_n := \sup_{n-1 \leq v \leq n} \left| \int_{]n-1, v]} e^{-V_{s-}} dP_s \right|, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{E} \Delta_n^p = \mathbf{E} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} M_j^p \sup_{n-1 \leq v \leq n} \left| \int_{]n-1, v]} e^{-(V_{s-} - V_{n-1})} dP_s \right|^p = \rho^{n-1} \mathbf{E} Y_1^{*p} < \infty.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we get using the Chebyshev inequality that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(\Delta_n > \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^{-p} \mathbf{E} Y_1^{*p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho^{n-1} < \infty.$$

By the Borel–Cantelli lemma $\Delta_n(\omega) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0(\omega)$ for each ω except a null-set. This implies the convergence $Y_t \rightarrow Y_\infty$ a.s.

Let us consider the sequence

$$Y_{1,n} := Q_2 + M_2 Q_3 + \cdots + M_2 \dots M_n Q_{n+1}$$

converging a.s. to a random variable $Y_{1,\infty}$ distributed as Y_∞ .

Passing to the limit in the obvious identity $Y_n = Q_1 + M_1 Y_{1,n-1}$ we obtain that $Y_\infty = Q_1 + M_1 Y_{1,\infty}$. For finite n the random variables $Y_{1,n}$ and (M_1, Q_1) are independent, $\mathcal{L}(Y_{1,n}) = \mathcal{L}(Y_n)$. Hence, $Y_{1,\infty}$ and (M_1, Q_1) are independent, $\mathcal{L}(Y_{1,\infty}) = \mathcal{L}(Y_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y_\infty) = \mathcal{L}(Q_1 + M_1 Y_{1,\infty})$.

It remains to check that Y_∞ is unbounded from above.

Ruin with probability one

Proposition

Suppose that $\mathbf{E}M_1^{-\delta} < 1$ and $\mathbf{E}M_1^{-\delta}|Q_1|^\delta < \infty$ for some $\delta \in]0, 1[$ and Q_1 is unbounded from above. Then $\Psi(u) \equiv 1$.

More specific conditions of the ruin almost surely in terms of triplets :

Theorem

Suppose that $0 \in \text{int dom } H$ and $\Pi_P(|\bar{h}|^\varepsilon) < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If $a_V + \Pi(\bar{h}(\ln(1+x))) \leq 0$, then $\Psi(u) \equiv 1$.

- Buraczewski D., Damek. A simple proof of heavy tail estimates for affine type Lipschitz recursions. *SPA*, **127** (2017), 657–668.
- Frolova A., Kabanov Yu., Pergamenshchikov S. In the insurance business risky investments are dangerous, *Finance and Stochastics*, **6** (2002), 227–235.
- Goldie C.M. Implicit renewal theory and tails of solutions of random equations. *AAP*, **1** (1991), 1, 126–166.
- Guivarc'h Y., Le Page E. On the homogeneity at infinity of the stationary probability for affine random walk. In : Bhattacharya S., Das T., Ghosh A., Shah R. (eds). *Recent trends in ergodic theory and dynamical systems*, 119–130.
- Kabanov Yu., Pergamenshchikov S. In the insurance business risky investments are dangerous : the case of negative risk sums. *Finance and Stochastics*, **20** (2016), 2, 355 – 379.
- Kabanov Yu., Pergamenshchikov S. The ruin problem for Lévy-driven linear stochastic equations with applications to actuarial models with negative risk sums. Submitted to *SPA*.